



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/897,390	07/21/1997	Matthew Lavail	REG-32	4393

7590 08/15/2003

BRET E. FIELD  
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP  
200 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD  
SUITE 200  
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

EXAMINER

HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1647

21

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER <b>08/897390</b>	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER <b>20</b>
----------	---------------------------

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Paula Boden (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Robert Hayer (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 8/14/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-2, 6-8, ~~13~~, ~~20~~, 22, ~~29~~, ~~30~~, 39, 40

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Although Applicant missed the scheduled interview, the following suggestions were made by the Examiner: combine clm 2 w/ clm 1, etc, have clm 6 dependent on claim 8, <sup>delete</sup> cancel clm 29 "active fragment" in clm 40 and "systemic" in clms 20 & 29. Since the claims are of different scope, further search and/or consideration would be necessitated. However a PTA action allowance after a RCE may be possible if suggestions are incorporated, and Applicant & Examiner work together.  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

*R. Hayer, RD*